



MARATHON COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

AMENDED AGENDA

Date & Time of Meeting: **Tuesday, February 19th, 2019 at 6:30 p.m.**

Meeting Location: **Marathon County Courthouse, 500 Forest Street, Employee Resource Conference Room, Wausau, WI 54403**

Members: **John Robinson, Chair; Richard Gumz, Vice-Chair; Allen Opall; Jeff Johnson, Thomas Seubert; Alan Christensen; Sandi Cihlar**

Marathon County Mission Statement: Marathon County Government serves people by leading, coordinating, and providing county, regional, and statewide initiatives. It directly, or in cooperation with other public and private partners, provides services and creates opportunities that make Marathon County and the surrounding area a preferred place to live, work, visit, and do business. (Last updated: 12/20/05)

Infrastructure Committee Mission/Purpose: Provide leadership for the implementation of the Strategic Plan, monitoring outcomes, reviewing and recommending to the County Board policies related to technology and infrastructure initiatives of Marathon County, which includes, but is not limited to, highways, airways, waterways, etc.

1. Call to Order
2. Public Comment Period
3. Approval of the Minutes of the January 3rd 2019, Infrastructure Committee Meeting.
4. Policy Issues Discussion and Potential Committee Determination - None
 - A. ~~Criteria utilized in determining whether to upgrade roadways to all-season roadways~~
 1. ~~Reconsideration of January's grant to give Cihlar Farms 10 permits per year~~
 2. ~~Consideration of request to upgrade portion of County B to an all-season roadway~~
 3. ~~Consideration of a modification to the existing policy of paved shoulders (County Road B)~~
5. Operational Functions required by Statute, Ordinance, or Resolution:
 - A. Discussion and Possible Action by Committee to Forward to the County Board for its consideration
 1. Resolution for Release of Access Rights, State Road, Village of Hatley
 - a) Consideration of release of access rights pursuant to State Statute 84.25 subsection (9) on Parcel 11 State of Wisconsin R/W Plat 1053-09-21
6. Educational Presentations and Committee Discussion - None
 - A. ~~Review and Discussion of Objective 10.12 Maintain infrastructure to support economic growth of Marathon County Strategic Plan 2018-2022 and the county administrators work plan~~
 1. ~~Discussion on applying Capital improvement plan criteria to highway upgrades to for future improvements.~~
 - B. ~~Highway Commissioner's Report~~
 - C. ~~Committee Member Reports on 2019 Wisconsin County Highway Association Winter Road School~~
7. Announcements:
 - A. Future meetings and agenda items:
8. Adjourn

Any person planning to attend this meeting who needs some type of special accommodation in order to participate should call the County Clerk's Office at 715 261-1500 or e-mail infomarathon@mail.co.marathon.wi.us one business day before the meeting.

SIGNED /s/ John Robinson
Presiding Officer or Designee

FAXED TO: Wausau Daily Herald, City Pages,
FAXED TO: and Other Media Groups
FAXED BY: Kendra Pergolski
FAXED DATE: _____
FAXED TIME: _____

NOTICE POSTED AT COURTHOUSE
BY: _____
DATE: _____
TIME: _____



MARATHON COUNTY INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Thursday, January 3, 2019, at 9:00 a.m.

Marathon County Highway Department, 1430 West Street, Wausau, WI 54401

Attendance:	Present	Excused	Absent
John Robinson, Chair	X		
Richard Gumz, Vice-Chair	X		
Tom Seubert	X		
Alan Christensen	X		
Sandi Cihlar	X		
Jeff Johnson	X		
Allen Opall	X		

Also Present: James Griesbach, Kevin Lang, Andrew Lynch, Peter Weinschenk, Dan Cihlar, Jon Graveen, Dave Mack, Joseph Kaiser, Jan Schreiner, Kendra Pergolski

1. Call Meeting to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chairman John Robinson at 9:00 a.m.

2. Public Comment

- A. Dan Cihlar of Cihlar Farms expressed concern over the safety of bicycles on county and rural roads.
- B. Gumz and Cihlar noted their attendance at the Farm Bureau Board meeting held at Marathon Lanes. At said meeting, the Farm Bureau Board recommended that the Committee seek input from the Farm Bureau Board before recommending policies that directly impact agriculture producers and consider the implementation of a policy prior to the Committee imposing a policy unfavorable to them, they invited the Farm Bureau Board to participate in the Infrastructure meetings.

3. Approval of the Minutes of the December 6, 2018, Infrastructure Committee Meeting

Discussion: Gumz inquired as to why the minutes seem to be “dulled down” by the removal of committee member names. Robinson explained that there is an effort by the Executive Committee to have a standardized approach to the minutes in order to capture the discussion that took place. Robinson recommended that if there is a request for modification to the minutes, a motion to amend minutes can be brought to the committee.

MOTION BY JOHNSON, SECOND BY OPALL, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE DECEMBER 6, 2018, INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE MEETING.

MOTION TO AMEND MINUTES TO REFLECT GUMZ NAME BE ADDED TO THE MINUTES BY JOHNSON, SECOND BY OPALL. MOTION CARRIED.

THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES AS AMMENDED CARRIED.

Follow through: In future meetings, a Committee Member must request to be added to the record if they specifically want their name to be listed in the minutes. If no such request is made, the minutes will be written in the existing format.

4. Policy Issues Discussion and Potential Committee Determination

- A. Criteria utilized in determining whether to upgrade roadways to all-season roadways
 1. Consideration of request to upgrade portion of County B to an all-season roadway

Cihlar abstained.

Discussion:

Jim Griesbach, Highway Commissioner, discussed the different types of potential asphalt layers and the projection prices of each for the 2.2 mile stretch of roadway. Griesbach stressed that these figures are a projection, as asphalt prices are unknown. Griesbach shared the course of action taken with similar scenarios of previous projects, some of which involved utilizing Transportation Economic Assistance (TEA)-Grants from the State of Wisconsin or an allotted amount of seasonal permits per year.

Kevin Lang discussed the different engineering/construction options including: a gravel layer, soil stabilization or an extra asphalt layer. Lang finished by giving a projection for the cost of potentially adding bike lanes to the roadway at approximately \$40k-\$50k per mile.

Dan Cihlar of Cihlar Farms, at the request of Gumz, summarized his requests and statements made at last month's meeting: the advantages of making County Road B an all-season road, the potential financial gain his business may incur through new opportunities an all-season road would present, the potential financial gain other businesses on the same roadway may incur due to the same scenario of an upgraded all-season road, and added value to real estate.

Action: MOTION BY CHRISTENSON TO GRANT CIHLAR FARMS UP TO TEN (10) SEASONAL PERMITS PER YEAR. SECOND BY JOHNSON. MOTION CARRIED.

Follow through: Griesbach will respond to Cihlar Farms request for permits as needed (up to ten).

5. Operational Functions required by Statute, Ordinance, or Resolution:

- A. Discussion and Possible Action by Committee to Forward to the County Board for its consideration
 - 1. Town of Rib Mountain-Trillium Multi-Use Trail Plat & Relocation Order
 - 1. Consideration of right-of-way acquisition by the Town of Rib Mountain

Discussion:

Highway Commissioner Griesbach explained that the land abutting the multi-use trail is owned by the County and the Town of Rib Mountain needs a right-of-way acquisition to complete their project. The Committee discussed the existing land and the function it currently serves. Griesbach indicated he believes the project would be good for the community as a whole and recommends the transaction, through sale or donation, be approved.

It was determined that the disposal of the land would have zero impact on current operations and that there are currently no future plans for the area.

The Committee discussed the possibility of granting the Town of Rib Mountain a Permanent Limited Easement due to the trail crossing the right-of-way for the road. Therefore, there would need to be an understanding with the Town of Rib Mountain that if a road is ever built, their easement would be null and void.

Action:

Opall abstained from vote.

MOTION BY SEUBERT, SECOND BY CHRISTENSON, TO RECOMMEND THE APPROVAL OF THE DONATION OF PARCEL 5 FOR THE MULTI-USE TRAIL BY EASEMENT WITHOUT CHARGE AND WITH THE CONTINGENCY THAT IF THE COUNTY WERE TO NEED THAT ROAD AT ANY TIME, THEY WOULD NOT INCUR ANY COSTS TO OBTAIN THE PROPERTY AND TO FORWARD THE ISSUE TO THE HUMAN RESOURCES, FINANCE AND PROPERTY COMMITTEE FOR CONSIDERATION. MOTION APPROVED.

Follow through: Donation of easement (with contingencies) to be forwarded to the County Board for consideration.

6. Educational Presentations and Committee Discussion

- A. Review and Discussion of [Washington County 2050 Transportation Network Sustainability Plan](#)
 - 1. Is there value in Marathon County adopting a similar approach?

DOCUMENT NO.

COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

THIS COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT (the "AGREEMENT") is made effective as of _____, 2019 (the "Effective Date"), by and between: MARATHON COUNTY ("COUNTY"); and VILLAGE OF HATLEY ("VILLAGE").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, County is the entity that obtained land in fee through State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation R/W Project Number 1053-09-21, Plat Sheet No: 4.10, dated August 13, 2003, accepted for Marathon County, creating access restrictions in Marathon County, Wisconsin, being more particularly described on **Exhibit A** attached hereto and made a part hereof by this reference (hereinafter referred to as the "County Plat");

THIS SPACE RESERVED FOR RECORDING DATA

NAME AND RETURN ADDRESS

Jim Lundberg
Point of Beginning
5709 Windy Drive, Suite D
Stevens Point, WI 54482

WHEREAS, the Village is the municipality with jurisdiction of access control on State Road, pertaining to the parcel of land lying and being in Marathon County, Wisconsin, being more particularly described on **Exhibit B** attached hereto and made part hereof by this reference (hereinafter referred to as the "Subject Parcel");

13628103029951

Parcel Identification Number

WHEREAS, that certain no access (by acquisition) is set forth in State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation R/W Project Number 1053-09-21, Plat Sheet No: 4.10, dated August 13, 2003, accepted for Marathon County (hereinafter referred to as the "Access Restriction") which burdens the Subject Property; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to terminate the Access Restriction, as set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby agree as follows:

1. Termination. The portion of the Access Restriction noted as "44' Wide Release of Access Restriction for Driveway" as shown on **Exhibit C** attached hereto and made part hereof by this reference (hereinafter referred to as the "Termination") and all of the terms, conditions and provisions thereof shall fully and forever terminate and expire as of the Effective Date.

2. Covenants Run with Land. All of the terms in this Termination shall run with the land and be binding upon the Subject Property.

[Signature pages follow.]

[Signature Page to Cooperative Agreement]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, County and the Village have set their hands and seals as of the day, month and year first above written.

“County”:

James M. Griesbach – County Commissioner

[Signature Page to Cooperative Agreement]

“Village”:



David Narloch Village President

This document was drafted by:
Jim Lundberg
Point of Beginning
5709 Windy Drive, Suite D
Stevens Point, WI 54482

EXHIBITS:

Exhibit A: County Plat
Exhibit B: Subject Parcel
Exhibit C: Termination

EXHIBIT A


P.I. = 12+58.12'FR' P.I. = 141+48.88
 Y = 174,559.563 Y = 174,667.810
 X = 355,817.594 X = 355,492.680
 Δ. = 30°56'07" (RT) Δ. = 50°24'33" (RT)
 D. = 10°00'00" D. = 8°00'00"
 T. = 158.55' T. = 337.09'
 L. = 309.35' L. = 630.12'
 R. = 572.96' R. = 716.20'

P.I. = 151+58.02
 Y = 175,287.835
 X = 355,344.044
 Δ. = 42°08'42" (LT)
 D. = 13°28'53"
 T. = 163.76'
 L. = 312.62'
 R. = 425.00'

COURSE TABLE				
FROM	TO	BEARING	DIST.	
PRW69	PRW20	N 53°48'14" E	105.07'	
PRW24	PRW65	S 28°24'03" E	73.71'	
PRW40	PLE3	N 53°20'46" E	38.38'	

ROAD NAME	BASIS OF EXISTING R/W	YEAR
C.T.H. Y	WISDOT PROJECT 1059-16-23	1997
S.T.H. 29	U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEYS	
C.T.H. Y	OF PUBLIC RECORD	
S.T.H. 29		

LEVELS ON = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63



REVISION DATE 11-24-03 N.C. 09-20-04	DATE: 8-13-03	SCALE, FEET 0 50 100	HWY: CTH Y	STATE R/W PROJECT NUMBER 1053-09-21	PLAT SHEET NO: 4.10
	GRID FACTOR N/A		COUNTY: MARATHON	CONSTRUCTION PROJECT NUMBER 1053-09-71	PS&E SHEET NO: E

EXHIBIT B

SUBJECT PARCEL

PART OF THE FRACTIONAL NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4) OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER (NW 1/4), THAT PART LYING NORTH OF HWY 29, EXCLUDING THE EAST TEN (10) RODS OF THE SOUTH EIGHT (8) RODS, EXCLUDING THAT PART DESCRIBED IN VOLUME 343D-380 AND VOLUME 439D-67 FOR HWY PURPOSES, EXCLUDING, COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER (NE), THENCE SOUTH 320 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, THENCE WEST 255 FEET, THENCE SOUTH 671, THENCE EAST 255 FEET, THENCE NORTH 671 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING. EXCLUDING CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO. 901, RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE REGISTER OF DEEDS FOR MARATHON COUNTY, IN VOLUME 4 OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAPS ON PAGE 91, AS DOCUMENT NO. 688950. EXCLUDING CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO. 7236, RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE REGISTER OF DEEDS FOR MARATHON COUNTY, IN VOLUME 28 OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAPS ON PAGE 9, AS DOCUMENT NO. 991600. EXCLUDING CERTIFIED SURVEY MAP NO. 7237, RECORDED IN THE OFFICE OF THE REGISTER OF DEEDS FOR MARATHON COUNTY, IN VOLUME 28 OF CERTIFIED SURVEY MAPS ON PAGE 10, AS DOCUMENT NO. 991601. EXCLUDING DOCUMENT NO. 1392122 (RD); ALSO EXCEPTING ANY PART USED FOR ROADWAY PURPOSES, ALL IN SECTION THIRTY (30), TOWNSHIP TWENTY-EIGHT (28) NORTH, RANGE TEN (10) EAST, IN THE VILLAGE OF HATLEY, MARATHON COUNTY, WISCONSIN.

EXHIBIT C


BEING A PART OF THE FRACTIONAL NORTHWEST 1/4 OF THE NORTHWEST 1/4 SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 28 NORTH, RANGE 10 EAST, VILLAGE OF HATLEY, MARATHON COUNTY, WISCONSIN.

LEGEND

- 3/4" O.D. X 18" IRON BAR SET WEIGHING 150 LBS/LIN. FT.
- 1-1/4" O.D. IRON PIPE FOUND
- 3/4" O.D. IRON BAR FOUND
- ⊕ ALUMINUM MONUMENT FOUND
- ⊗ STONE MONUMENT FOUND
- RECORDED AS
- NO ACCESS (BY ACQUISITION) PER D.O.T. R/W PROJECT NO. 1053-09-21



NW CORNER
SEC. 30-28-10
N 89°50'26" E
2882.54'
N 1/4 CORNER
SEC. 30-28-10



BASIS OF BEARINGS

THE NORTH LINE OF THE FRACTIONAL NORTHWEST 1/4 OF SECTION 30, T.28N, R.10E, WHICH BEARS N 89°50'26" E AS REFERENCED TO THE MARATHON COUNTY COORDINATE SYSTEM, NAD83 (2011).

FIELDWORK COMPLETED ON
AUGUST 2-4-30, 2018

Land Surveying
Civil Engineering
Landscape Architecture
Jordan G. Brost, PLS #3009
5709 Windy Drive, Suite D
Stevens Point, WI 54482
715.344.9991(ph) 715.344.9922(fax)

THIS INSTRUMENT WAS DRAFTED BY JORDAN BROST
AND DRAWN BY NATHAN LOHRKE

FIELD BOOK _____ PAGE _____

JOB # 18.484

SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS

RESOLUTION #R-____-19

**RESOLUTION FOR RELEASE OF CONTROLLED-ACCESS RIGHTS,
STATE ROAD, VILLAGE OF HATLEY**

WHEREAS, Marathon County holds controlled-access rights along a portion of a frontage road which intersects with CTH "Y" pursuant to a State of Wisconsin R/W Plat 1053-09-21, which is attached hereto; and

WHEREAS, the property affected is located within the Village of Hatley and identified as Parcel 11 in the Plat; and

WHEREAS, Wis. Stats. §84.25(9), provides a process by which municipalities holding controlled-access rights may enter into a cooperative agreement with other municipalities for the purpose of vacating said rights; and

WHEREAS, at its meeting on February 7, 2019, the Infrastructure Committee voted to approve the attached Cooperative Agreement with the Village of Hatley for the purpose of vacating or terminating or releasing controlled-access rights for a 44-foot section of the affected property to enable development.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors for the County of Marathon does hereby approve the attached Cooperative Agreement with the Village of Hatley.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Marathon County Highway Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign the attached agreement on behalf of Marathon County

Dated: February ____, 2019.

INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

Fiscal Impact: None.

MARATHON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE RATING FORM FOR SERVICE, EQUIPMENT, AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS

Should this project be funded by Marathon County Capital Improvement Program?

1. REGULATORY COMPLIANCE 9 Points

This criterion includes regulatory mandates impacting courts, jail, educational facilities, environmental, safety and health issues. These mandates could come from federal, state or local agencies.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
Project is not mandated or otherwise required by court order, judgment, or interlocal agreements.	Project would address anticipated mandates, other legal requirements, or interlocal agreements.	Project required by federal, state or local mandates, grants, court orders and judgments; required as part of interlocal agreements.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Is the project being considered due to federal, state or local legal mandate?		0

2. NEED/NECESSITY 7 Points

This area of emphasis relates to projects that may because of special circumstances or emergencies represent a need to be undertaken immediately or in the very near future. Projects scoring high in this category must demonstrate an immediate need or benefit.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
This project represents no significant need or benefit.	This project represents some significant need or benefit.	This project represents a significant need or benefit.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	If not funded are the potential direct negative consequences significant?		0
B	Is this request part of a planned continuation of a project already begun?		0
C	Department Priority Factor:		0
	High: Top (1st) 15	Medium: Middle (2nd) 10	Low: Bottom (rest) 5

MARATHON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE RATING FORM FOR SERVICE, EQUIPMENT, AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS

3. PUBLIC SAFETY/HEALTH IMPACT 10 Points

Safety and health typically involve such things as fire protection, law enforcement, emergency response and communications, safe roads, public health, and flood control as examples. Improvements to department infrastructure or services that directly impact the safety and health of citizens would score high in this category. Similarly, safety improvements in public buildings might score points in this category while adding financial software to these departments probably would not.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
Project has no or minimal direct impact on safety/health.	Project has some direct impact on safety/health.	Project has a significant direct impact on safety/health.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Does the project directly reduce risk to employees or users of Marathon County facilities?		0
B	Does the project directly improve the public safety/health of the citizens of Marathon County?		0
C	Is this project in response to an identified safety/health issue?		0

4. EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE 9 Points

This element relates to basic or core infrastructure needs of the County, recognizing the need to preserve and maintain existing assets over acquiring new assets. Typical projects in this category would include utility/service infrastructure such as underground utilities, sidewalks, roads/transportation, data and communications systems, and County facilities.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
The urgency level is low.	The urgency level is moderate.	The urgency level is high, existing assets are no longer functional, or there are no existing assets to serve the need.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Will the project save or repair structural integrity of existing buildings, extend the life of or reduce the operating costs of existing public infrastructure and facilities?		0
B	Do resources spent on maintenance of existing assets justify upgrade or replacement?		0
C	Does the project provide additional capacity, functionality or upgrade existing/outdated assets or operating system?		0
D	Has the existing asset exceeded its useful life based on industry standards?		0

MARATHON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE RATING FORM FOR SERVICE, EQUIPMENT, AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS

5. CONSISTENCY WITH STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES 4 Points

This criterion measures the degree to which the project supports stated county objectives. This can include recommendations stated in a County sponsored service plan, master plan, or study; input expressed through a citizen survey, a Board of Supervisors policy, or an appointed committee or board; and the broader goals set forth in the County's Strategic Plan. If the project has little correlation to any of the above it would score low in this category.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
The project is not consistent with recommendations of an adopted plan or study.	The project is partially consistent with recommendations of an adopted plan or study.	The project is consistent with recommendations of an adopted plan or study.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Will the project comply with recommendations or objectives addressed in an adopted plan or study?		0
B	Is the project in conformance with the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the County's Strategic Plan?		0
C	Does the project relate to the results of an advisory referendum?		0

6.1 FISCAL CRITERIA 8 Points

Some projects may affect the operating budget for the next few years or for the life of the facility. A jail will need to be staffed and supplied, therefore having an impact on the operational budget for the life of the facility. Replacing a roof will not require any additional resources from the operational budget.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
Project will have an additional cost on future budgets.	Project will have a neutral cost on future budgets.	Project will have a reduced cost on future budgets.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Will the project lead to a reduction in personnel or operating costs?		0
B	Is the requester providing documentation to show the project will lead to increased productivity?		0
C	Will the project require additional capital/equipment in excess of the project budget?		0
D	Is there an additional revenue generating opportunity?		0

MARATHON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE RATING FORM FOR SERVICE, EQUIPMENT, AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS

6.2 FISCAL CRITERIA

8 Points

Capital Improvement Projects can be funded through other sources. Developer funding, grants through various agencies and donations can all be sources of external funding for a project. The percentage of total cost funded by an outside source will determine the score in this category.

Scoring Scale									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
0 to 10% External Funding	11 to 20% External Funding	21 to 30% External Funding	31 to 40% External Funding	41 to 50% External Funding	51 to 60% External Funding	61 to 70% External Funding	71 to 80% External Funding	81 to 90% External Funding	91 to 100% External Funding

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Is there any outside funding?		0

7. GROWTH/ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

4 Points

Economic/community development considerations relate to projects that foster the development, redevelopment or expansion of a diversified business/industrial base or designated growth area. Projects that will help create jobs and generate a positive financial contribution to the County would be included in this category, as would a new park or streetscape project in a designated growth area.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
Project will have a neutral impact on economic development.	Project will have an minimal impact on economic development.	Project will have a significant impact on economic development.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	Does the project have the potential to promote economic development Countywide?		0
B	Does the project create new opportunities for economic development?		0
C	Does the project expand or enhance existing facilities or infrastructure that will promote economic development?		0

MARATHON COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE RATING FORM FOR SERVICE, EQUIPMENT, AND FACILITY IMPROVEMENT REQUESTS

8. PUBLIC BENEFIT	5 Points
--------------------------	-----------------

Public Benefit is a characteristic that makes the County a favorable place to live. Quality parks and roads satisfy all citizens and would greatly impact the quality of life. A County maintenance building is an example of a project that does not directly affect the citizen's quality of life.

Scoring Scale		
0 to 1	2 to 3	4 to 5
Project would benefit only a small percentage (0-33%) of citizens.	Project would benefit a significant percentage (34-66%) of citizens.	Project would benefit most or all of the citizens (67-100%) in Marathon County.

		Scale SCORE	Score x Points =TOTAL
A	To what degree does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?	0	0
B	To what degree does the project improve transportation efficiency?	0	0
C	To what degree does the project target the quality of life of various citizens?	0	0
D	To what degree does the project increase or enhance cultural or educational opportunities to citizens of Marathon County?	0	0
E	To what degree does the project improve overall health of Marathon County Citizens?	0	0